Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,800	DEVINE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Christopher A. Revak.	(3)	
(2) Phouphanomketh Ditthavong.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2005</u>	Time: <u>2:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: claimed trademark		
Claims discussed: 11,15		
Prior art documents discussed:		·••
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
A 3/4/05		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution. The examiner indicated that he overlooked the claimed trademark "Java" in claims 11 and 15. Since the examiner found the applicant's arguments to be persuasive, the examiner asked for the applicant permission to perform an examiner's amendment to remove the trademark form the claim. The applicant's representative gave permission to the examiner..